

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,630	11/26/2003	Brent Roberts	717841.5	7332	
27128 7590 05/18/2007 BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP 720 OLIVE STREET SUITE 2400			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LEE, JINHEE J		
ST. LOUIS, M	O 63101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·		2174			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/722,630	ROBERTS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jinhee J. Lee	2174		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)		
Status		•			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-17 claims a data structure (or method for data structure), however, it appears the limitations of said claim are merely claiming statements defining various items; therefore said limitations do not appear to be defining any functional interrelations which permits the computer program's functionality (or data structure's functionality) to be realized (Physical article to realize the functionality and/or tangible result is lacking).

In view of the above, claims 1-17 are therefore directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-17 still do not meet the statutory requirements under 35
U.S.C. 101, lacking a physical article to realize the functionality. Furthermore, regarding the method claims, the tangible result of the functional relations are lacking, and therefore, lacking the realization of the method steps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sanderson (20020101448).

Re claim 1, Sanderson discloses an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface in a client-server environment comprising: a user interface (UI) repository (data factory 210 for example) residing in a database accessible to a client server network environment, where said UI repository, contains a UI element (data element 208 for example), which defines data element attributes including data type, how to display data and labels (see figure 2 for example); a screen repository (specification 209 for example) residing in the database accessible to the client-server network environment, where said screen repository includes screen attributes, which defines the hierarchical navigational tree structure of screens for an graphical user interface (GUI) application and further defines what screen will be constructed and defines a GUI component of the screen; a data binding framework (content factory 213 for example) operable to bind data to the UI element and the GUI component (inherent in order to display); a (GUI) framework (controller 201c for example) operably residing at a client in the client-server network environment, where said (GUI) framework is operable to control how data is handled and processed within the GUI component of the GUI application including binding data to the GUI component utilizing the data binding framework; and

a navigation framework (view 201b, model 201a for example) operably residing at the client, where said navigation framework controls generating and displaying of the

Page 4

screens within an application and further builds a navigation tree structure based on the screen attributes; and

an object oriented software application (see paragraph 0037, object-oriented implementation and XML, see paragraph 0046 for example) for generating a graphical user interface being executed in said client-server network environment (client 201D, server 206 in figure 2 for example) having functional interfaces for accessing repositories and frameworks accessible by the client-server network (see figure 2 for example).

Re claim 2, Sanderson discloses an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface, further comprising: a security framework (using 442 for example, inherent) operable to communicate information to the navigation framework causing the navigation framework to selectively deny a user access to screens by not providing the user with selections that would navigate to the screen (see figure 4b).

Re claim 3, Sanderson discloses an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface, further comprising: a verification framework (validator 211 for example) operable to apply business rules to data contained in a data set and determine if the data is in error and if in error the verification framework communicates with the GUI component to display an error message.

Re claim 4, Sanderson discloses an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface in a client-server environment comprising: a graphical user interface (GUI) framework (201c for example) operably

residing at a client in a client-server network environment, where said GUI framework is operable to control how data is handled and processed within a GUI component of a GUI application including binding data to the GUI component utilizing a data binding framework;

a collection of integrated repositories (210 for example) relationally inter referenced by elements within their respective attribute tables operable for accessing and integrating all attribute elements relating to generating a graphical user interface;

a collection of executable object oriented routines (209 for example) being executed on the client-server network (client 201D, server 206, see figure 2 for example) and operable to manipulate the GUI framework;

a collection of XML files (content 207 for example) operable to access and export data from the repositories at run time for use by the GUI application; an XML layout manager (format 212 for example) operable to define the screen layout from the collection of XML files; and a navigation framework operably residing at the client, where said navigation framework (view 201b for example) controls generating and displaying of screens within the GUI application based upon the XML lay out manager and the repository attributes accessed and exported by the collection of XML files and further builds the navigation tree structure based on the repository attributes.

Re claim 5, Sanderson discloses an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface, further comprising: a security framework (using 442, inherent) operable to communicate information to the navigation framework causing the navigation framework to selectively deny a user access to

screens by not providing the user with selections that would navigate to the screen (see figure 4b).

Re claim 6, Sanderson discloses an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface, further comprising: a verification framework (validator 211 for example) operable to apply business rules to data contained in a data set and determine if the data is in error and if in error the verification framework communicates with the GUI component to display an error message.

Re claim 7, Sanderson discloses an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface in a client-server environment comprising: a screen repository (209 for example) residing in the database accessible by a client-server network environment, where said screen repository includes screen attributes, which defines the hierarchical navigational tree structure of screens for an graphical user interface (GUI) application and further defines what screen will be constructed and defines a GUI components of the screen;

a user interface (UI) repository (210 for example) residing in a database accessible to the client server network environment, where said UI repository, contains a UI element, which defines data element attributes including data type, how to display data and labels; and

a data binding framework (213 for example) operable to bind data to the UI element and the GUI component based on the data type defined in the UI repository; and

an object oriented software application (see paragraph 0037 object oriented implementation and XML in paragraph 0046 for example) for generating a graphical user interface being executed in said client-server network environment (client 201D, server 206, see figure 2 for example) having functional interfaces for accessing repositories and frameworks accessible by the client-server network (see figure 2 for example).

Re claim 8, Sanderson discloses an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface, further comprising: a security framework (using 442, inherent) operable to communicate information to the navigation framework causing the navigation framework to selectively deny a user access to screens by not providing the user with selections that would navigate to the screen (see figure 4b for example).

Re claim 9, Sanderson discloses an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface, further comprising: a verification framework (211 for example) operable to apply business rules to data contained in a data set and determine if the data is in error and if in error the verification framework communicates with the GUI component to display an error message.

Re claim 10, Sanderson discloses an integrated system tool comprising:

an administrative computing tool application being executed on a computer

(server 206 for example) including, a navigation tool (201b for example) for building a

navigation framework adapted to control the generation of screens for a graphical user

interface (GUI) application and further adapted to define the hierarchical relationship of

the screens, a screen repository tool (209 for example) operable to build a repository of screen attributes to establish a hierarchical screen navigation structure and a corresponding Java class construct to be executed and a GUI component, a user interface repository tool (210 for example) operable to build a user interface repository having user interface attribute tables of user interface elements corresponding to the GUI component, and a data binding framework tool (213 for example) operable to build a data binding framework operable to bind data from an appropriate data set to the user interface element and the GUI component.

Re claim 11, Sanderson discloses an integrated system tool, where the administrator computing tool further comprises: a security framework tool (using 442 for example) operable to build a security framework operable to communicate information to the navigation framework causing the navigation framework to selectively deny a user access to screens by not providing the user with selections that would navigate to the screen (see figure 4b).

Re claim 12, Sanderson discloses an integrated system tool, where the administrator computing tool further comprises: a verification framework tool (211 for example) operable to build a verification framework operable to apply business rules to data contained in a data set and determine if the data is in error and if in error the verification framework communicates with the GUI component to display an error message.

Re claim 13, Sanderson discloses a method of generating a graphical user interface utilizing an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories comprising Application/Control Number: 10/722,630

Art Unit: 2174

the steps of: Receiving a screen (201d to 207 for example) request to a graphical user interface (GUI) application based on a user input; Accessing and constructing (from 201b to 201a for example) a basic screen and screen attributes from a screen repository corresponding to the user input as determined by a navigation framework; Binding GUI components (create instance in 210 for example) defined by the screen attributes with user interface elements from a UI repository based on the attributes defined in the UI repository (see figure 2 for example); Binding data to the GUI components and UI elements (format 212 for example); and Displaying the screen (201b to 201a for example).

Re claim 14, Sanderson discloses a method of generating a graphical user interface, further comprising: filtering a screen (with 442 for example) with a security framework operable to communicate information to the navigation framework causing the navigation framework to selectively deny a user access to screens by not providing the user with selections that would navigate to the screen.

Re claim 15, Sanderson discloses a method of generating a graphical user interface as recited in claim 13, further comprising: displaying an error message (using 211 for example) with a verification framework operable to apply business rules to data contained in a data set and determine if the data is in error and if in error the verification framework communicates with the GUI component to display an error message.

Re claim 16, Sanderson discloses a method for building an integrated system of frameworks and data repositories for generating a graphical user interface comprising the steps of: building a graphical user interface (GUI) framework (201c for example)

operable to reside at a client in a client-server network environment, where said GUI framework is operable to control how data is handled and processed within a GUI component of a GUI application including binding data to the GUI component utilizing a data binding framework; and building a collection (with 210 for example) of integrated repositories to be relationally inter referenced by elements within their respective attribute tables operable for accessing and integrating all attribute elements relating to generating a graphical user interface; and displaying a graphical user interface (see figure 2 and abstract for example).

Re claims 17, Sanderson discloses a method for building an integrated system further comprising the steps of: building a verification framework (with 211 for example) operable to apply business rules to data contained in a data set and determine if the data is in error and if in error the verification framework communicates with the GUI component to display an error message.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/1/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the 101 rejection, claims 1-17 still do not meet the statutory requirements under 35 U.S.C. 101, lacking a physical article to realize the functionality. Furthermore, regarding the method claims, the tangible result of the functional relations are lacking, and therefore, lacking the realization of the method steps.

Regarding the 102 rejection:

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the UI repository framework...is a defined data field repository, which includes a UI element that defines attributes" and "macro framework of attributes") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Furthermore, UI repository with UI element can be interpreted as data factory 210, which has data elements 208 for the user interface (UI). Data elements for user interface (UI) meet the limitation of "UI element". A data can be a user interface element. Examiner disagrees with the applicant's arguments.

In response to applicant's arguments that the prior art does not disclose "screen repository", examiner disagrees. The repository of Specification 209 of Sanderson discloses Objects and formats for the user interface among which defines the screen output (see figure 2).

In response to applicant's arguments that the prior art does not disclose "a data binding framework", examiner disagrees. Item 213 of Sanderson discloses

ContentFactory where the content of the user interface is created. This is providing data binding framework, where the data gathered or determined is created or binded to each other.

In response to applicant's arguments that the prior art does not disclose "a GUI framework", examiner disagrees. The controller 201C resides at the client portion of the

"client-server network environment" as claimed. Furthermore, The controller "is operable to control how data is handled and processed within the GUI component ... including binding data to the GUI component utilizing the data binding framework".

In response to applicant's arguments that the prior art does not disclose "navigation framework", examiner disagrees. Claim states that "said navigation framework controls generating and displaying of the screens within an application" which is the function of item 204B View. Further figure 1 shows in the view "navigation tree structure based on the screen attributes.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F at 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-2100 ext. 74. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jinhee J Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2174

ijl